## Number Theory Down Under 8 Further Study of the Knave Map

Thomas Morrill<sup>1</sup> Mansur Boase

<sup>1</sup>Trine University Slides available at tsmorrill.github.io.

2020 October 8

## Outline

- Look-and-Say Sequences
- The Look-Knave Sequence
- Limits of  $k^n(s)$ .
- Asymptotics
- Preliminary Bounds
- Next Steps

# Look-and-Say Sequences

Further Study of the Knave Map NTDU8

## The Look-and-Say Sequence is a sequence of integers (words)

#### $1, 11, 21, 1211, \ldots$

in which the digits of  $s_n$  are the description of the digits of  $s_{n-1}$ .

## Theorem (Conway, 1987)

Let  $s_n$  denote the nth term in the Look-and-Say sequence. Then,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|s_{n+1}|}{|s_n|} = 1.3035\dots,$$

which is an algebraic integer of degree 71.

Theorem (Conway's Cosmological Theorem, 1987)

Let  $\ell$  denote the Look-and-Say map. There is a known set (table) of words (elements) so that the following hold.

For all pairs of elements u, v on the table,  $\ell(uv) = \ell(u)\ell(v)$ .

Further, let  $w \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}^n$ , with  $n \ge 1$ . Then there exists  $m \ge 0$  so that  $\ell^m(w)$ , and all its iterates under  $\ell$ decompose into words from the table of elements

$$w' = w'_1 \dots w'_k.$$

"All compounds eventually decompose into lower elements."

The *Binary Look-and-Say Sequence* is a sequence of binary words

```
1, 11, 101, 111011, \ldots
```

in which the bits of  $s_n$  are the base-2 description of the digits of  $s_{n-1}$ .

## Theorem (Johnston, 2010 (blog post))

Let  $s_n$  denote the nth term in the Binary Look-and-Say sequence. Then,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|s_{n+1}|}{|s_n|} = 1.4655\dots,$$

which is an algebraic integer of degree 3.

For a binary word s, let  $\overline{s}$  be the bitwise complement of s.

The Look-Knave Sequence is a sequence of binary words, in which  $s_1 = 1$ , and the bits of  $s_n$  are the base-2 description of  $\overline{s_{n-1}}$ .

We call this operation the knave map,

$$k: \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}^n \to \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}^n.$$

Here is the calculation of the first few terms of the sequence.

| $s_1 = 1$        | $\overline{s_1} = 0$        |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| $s_2 = 10$       | $\overline{s_2} = 01$       |
| $s_3 = 1011$     | $\overline{s_3} = 0100$     |
| $s_4 = $ 1011101 | $\overline{s_3} = $ 0100010 |
| :                | ÷                           |

Entries of the Look-Knave sequence

| 1                                       |
|---|
| 10                                      |
| 1011                                    |
| 1011100                                 |
| 1011110101                              |
| 1011100011101110                        |
| 10111101111101111011                    |
| 1011100011101011100011100               |
| 101111011110111011110111110101          |
| 101110001110101111011100011101011101110 |

## The Look-Knave Map

## Conjecture

Let  $s_n$  denote the nth term in the Look-Knave sequence. Then,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|s_{n+1}|}{|s_n|} = 1.12\dots,$$

which is an algebraic integer.

#### Odd entries of the Look-Knave Sequence

Even entries of the Look-Knave Sequence

```
10
1011100
1011100011101110
10111000111011100011100
10111000111010111011100
```

Theorem (M, 2020)

Let  $s_n = k^n(1)$ . Then the bitwise limits

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} s_{2n} = S_0, \qquad \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} s_{2n+1} = S_1$$

exist. Further,  $S_0$  is the description of  $\overline{S_1}$  and vice versa.

$$S_0 = 1011100011101...$$
  
 $S_1 = 1011110111110...$ 

Can we really define the action of k on any infinite binary word? Simple, we only have two cases to handle: a tail of all 0s, and a tail of all 1s.

 $k(0\ldots) := 1\ldots$  $k(1\ldots) := 0\ldots$ 

This plays nicely with the inclusion map

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$
$$w0 \mapsto w0 1 \dots$$
$$w1 \mapsto w1 0 \dots$$

#### Theorem (M, 2020)

Let S be any infinite binary word. If S is neither of (0...) and (1...), then the bitwise limits

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} k^{2n}(S), \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} k^{2n+1}(S)$$

both exist, and

$$\left\{\lim_{n \to \infty} k^{2n}(s), \ \lim_{n \to \infty} k^{2n+1}(s)\right\} = \{S_0, S_1\}$$

Thus,  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  are the attracting fixed points of the map

$$k^2: \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}},$$

and (0...) and (1...) are the repelling fixed points.

What are the properties of k as a function of infinite sequences?

What are the properties of  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ ?

How about the density of 1s in these words?

For a binary word s, define

$$\begin{split} |s| &= \text{the length of } s. \\ |s|_1 &= \text{the number of 1s which occur in } s. \\ d_1(s) &= |s|_1/|s|. \\ \Delta(s) &= \text{the number of bit changes which occur in } s. \end{split}$$

For example,

$$d_1(11011) = \frac{|11011|_1}{|11011|} = \frac{4}{5}$$
$$\Delta(11011) = 2.$$

## We are interested in studying limits of the form

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(w_n)}{g(w_n)},$$

where  $w_n$  is the prefix of  $S_0$  (resp.,  $S_1$ ) of length n.

Notice  $w_n$  is also a prefix of some word  $k^m(1)!$ 

## **Preliminary Bounds**

#### Lemma

For  $s_n \in \{k^n(1)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,

$$|s_n| \asymp |s_n|_{\mathfrak{l}} \asymp |s_n|_{\mathfrak{o}} \asymp \Delta(s_n) \asymp |k(s)|.$$

That is, for each pair of functions, there exist constants A, B so that

$$A|s_n| \le \Delta(s_n) \le B|s_n|$$

and so on.

Proof.

Run-lengths of s are bounded; at most three 0s, or five 1s, may occur in a run.

## **Preliminary Bounds**

## Corollary

For  $s_n \in \{k^n(1)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $1 \asymp d_1(s_n) \asymp d_0(s_n) \asymp \frac{\Delta(s_n)}{|s_n|} \asymp \frac{|k(s_n)|}{|s_n|}$ .

## **Preliminary Bounds**

## Lemma (M, 2020)

All  $s_n \in \{k^n(1)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , may be decomposed into a concatenation of subwords w listed below.

| Body  |      | Tail |      |
|-------|------|------|------|
| w     | k(w) | w    | k(w) |
| 0     | 11   | 00   | 101  |
| 000   | 111  |      |      |
| 1     | 10   | 11   | 100  |
| 111   | 110  |      |      |
| 1111  | 1000 |      |      |
| 11111 | 1010 |      |      |

Here, subwords in the "tail" column may only occur as the final subword of  $s_n$ .

This means 0110 and 1001 never occur in  $S_0$  or  $S_1$ .

Further Study of the Knave Map NTDU8

Subwords of  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ , their densities, and densities are given below.

| s                   | d(s) | k(s)    | d(k(s)) |
|---------------------|------|---------|---------|
| 10                  | 1/2  | 1011    | 3/4     |
| 1000                | 1/4  | 10111   | 4/5     |
| 1110                | 3/4  | 1011    | 4/5     |
| 111000              | 1/2  | 110111  | 5/6     |
| 11110               | 4/5  | 100011  | 1/2     |
| 1111000             | 4/7  | 1000111 | 4/7     |
| 111110              | 5/6  | 101011  | 2/3     |
| <del>11111000</del> |      |         |         |

With a little work, one can show 11111000 also does not occur in  $S_0$  or  $S_1$ .

They warned me about averaging averages...

Lemma (The Mediant Inequality)  
For 
$$1 \le i \le n$$
, let  $q_i = \frac{a_i}{b_i}$  with  $a_i \ge 0$ ,  $b_i > 0$ , and  
 $q_1 \le \dots \le q_n$ .

Further let  $\omega_i$  be nonnegative weights. Then

$$q_1 \le \frac{\omega_1 a_1 + \dots + \omega_n a_n}{\omega_1 b_1 + \dots + \omega_n b_n} \le q_n$$

So, if s is a prefix of  $S_0$  or  $S_1$ , then

$$\frac{|s|_1}{|s|} = \frac{\omega_1 \Sigma w_1 + \dots + \omega_n \Sigma w_n}{\omega_1 |w_1| + \dots + \omega_n |w_n|},$$

where the  $w_i$  are subwords taken from the k(s) column of the table. This gives us

$$\frac{1}{2} \le \frac{|s|_1}{|s|} \le \frac{5}{6}.$$

So, there are no fewer 1s than 0s.

Can we do better?

# Gerrymandering

Further Study of the Knave Map NTDU8

We don't like the subword s = 11110, because the density of k(s) is not rigged in our favor.

| s       | k(s)    | d(k(s)) |
|---------|---------|---------|
| 10      | 1011    | 3/4     |
| 1000    | 10111   | 4/5     |
| 1110    | 1011    | 4/5     |
| 111000  | 110111  | 5/6     |
| 11110   | 100011  | 1/2     |
| 1111000 | 1000111 | 4/7     |
| 111110  | 101011  | 2/3     |

By studying the k(s) column, we deduce 11110 cannot immediately follow itself in  $k(s_n)$ , but  $s_n = k(s_{n-1})$ .

Let's replace the 11110 line in the table by considering all possible subwords which begin with 1110 instead.

| s            | k(s)          | d(k(s)) |
|--------------|---------------|---------|
| 1111010      | 1000111011    | 6/10    |
| 111101000    | 10001110111   | 7/11    |
| 111101110    | 1000111011    | 7/11    |
| 11110111000  | 100011110111  | 2/3     |
| 111101111000 | 1000111000111 | 7/13    |
| 11110111110  | 100011101011  | 7/12    |

This implies

$$\frac{7}{13} \le \frac{|s|_1}{|s|} \le \frac{5}{6}.$$

Let  $s_n$  be the prefix of length n of  $S_0$  (resp.,  $S_1$ ). Then

$$1 \leq \underline{\lim} \ \frac{k^{n+1}(1)}{k^n(1)} \leq \overline{\lim} \ \frac{k^{n+1}(1)}{k^n(1)} \leq 1.7$$
$$\frac{7}{13} \leq \underline{\lim} \ \frac{|s|_1}{|s|} \leq \overline{\lim} \ \frac{|s|_1}{|s|} \leq \overline{5}_6$$

- Study  $k^4$  instead of  $k^2$ .
- Periodicity/entropy of  $S_0, S_1$ ?
- Work in an extended alphabet  $\{0, 1, 0, 1\}$ .

# Thank you!

Further Study of the Knave Map NTDU8